

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER **APT Monthly Webinar Update March 2023**

Jeremy Robinson, PE, PhD **Research Civil Engineer** Jeremy.Robinson@usace.army.mil

Distribution A: Approved for public release.





DISCOVER | DEVELOP | DELIVER

SERDE



US ARMY ERDC – FACILITIES















US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

US ARMY ERDC – CURRENT EQUIPMENT

HVS-A (Bigfoot)

- Length: 119.2 ft
- Width: 16.4 ft
- Mass: 227,000 lbs
- Tracking length: 40 ft
- Wander: 5 ft
- Load: 10,000 100,000 lbs
- Speed: 4 10 mph
- Environmental: 23°F 109°F



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

US ARMY ERDC – CURRENT EQUIPMENT

HVS-T (Titan)

- Wheel load: 9,000 120,000 lbs.
- Testing length: 70 ft
- Wander: 6 ft
- Laser profiler
- Environmental chamber
 0° F 110° F
- Carriage:
 - Highway
 - Aircraft
 - Rail
- Programmable sink rateSimulate aircraft touchdown



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Structural evaluation for the P-8 Poseidon aircraft

Rigid pavement

- 8 in., 11 in, 14 in. thick
- Dowel and undowelled joints
- Standard PCC mix (650 psi flex)
- Flexible pavement
 - 2 in. and 4 in. thick HMA
 - Weak and strong base course
 6 and 10 CBR clay subgrade

AM-2 matting

Airfield Damage Repair (ADR)



- 89,000 lb total load
- 220 psi inflation pressure
- 8-ft wide wander (outside to outside)

US Army Corps of Engineers

Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

File Name

Structural evaluation for the P-8 Poseidon aircraft



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

File Name

UNCLASSIFIED

1......

Structural evaluation for the P-8 Poseidon aircraft



UNCLASSIFIED

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

File Name

8-in. PCC crack development



11-in PCC achieved 50,000 passes with no cracking



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

File Name

Flexible pavement testing

HMA Thickness

- Increase in HMA thickness improved rutting performance on weaker subgrade
- Not meaningful on firm subgrade

Base Course Strength

- Increase in base course strength significantly improved rutting performance
- Highlighted importance of competent base layer

Subgrade Strength

File Name

 Increase in subgrade strength improved rutting performance



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

UNCLASSIFIED

Flexible pavement contingency design curves

UNCLASSIFIED

Developed design curves based on APT observations and analytical effort

Leveraged MEPDG deformation model

- Added a modulus deterioration model
- Added a non-uniform tire loading model
- Incorporated aircraft wander

Calibrated model using rutting data, instrumentation response, and NDT data

File Name

P-8 Expeditionary Design Curves for 2-in. HMA



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

P-8 Work Continued (Leveraging existing test section)

Saltwater concrete

- Replaced Lane 2 (8 in. and 11 in.) PCC with saltwater concrete
- Same PCC mix design with the exception of saltwater replacement for potable water
- No meaningful difference was observed in short-term trafficking performance
 - Long-term slabs were placed to monitor with NDT test equipment (non-trafficked)
- Similar crack development in the 8-in.-thick PCC and no cracking observed in the 11-in.-thick PCC

Full-depth reclamation

- Reclaimed both HMA test lanes
- 3% engineered emulsion (CRS-2P) and 2% cement
- Reclamation depth of 14-in.
- 2-in. and 4-in.-thick HMA surface

Low strength PCC

File Name

- Replace saltwater concrete test lane with a low flexural strength PCC
- Simulate remote locations (poor materials, poor construction)

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation of Hexagonal Multi-shape, Multi-axial Geogrids in Road Applications

UNCLASSIFIED

Full-scale flexible pavement test section

- 4-in. and 4.5-in.-thick asphalt layer
- 4-in. and 6-in.-thick crushed limestone aggregate layer
- 6 California Bearing Ratio clay subgrade

Three recently developed innovative geogrids

- Combination of hexagonal, trapezoidal, and triangular aperture shapes
- Coextruded, composite polymer sheet
- Punched and oriented

Geogrids placed at aggregate/subgrade interface

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

File Name

Evaluation of Hexagonal Multi-shape, Multi-axial **Geogrids in Road Applications**



UNCLASSIFIED

Evaluation of Hexagonal Multi-shape, Multi-axial Geogrids in Road Applications



UNCLASSIFIED

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

File Name

Evaluation of Hexagonal Multi-shape, Multi-axial Geogrids in Road Applications



File Name



UNCLASSIFIED



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

FDR Pavement Research Projects

FDR for Sustainment Operations:

- C-17 loading conditions (45 kips/142 psi)
- Cement and cement/emulsion additive
- 12-in.-thick reclaimed layer
- 2.5-in.-thick HMA surface
- Trafficked to 100,000 passes with <1 in. rutting

Minimum Surface Thickness for Fighter Aircraft: F-15 loading conditions (35 kips/325 psi) Cement additive

- 10-in.-thick reclaimed layer
- Multiple surfaces
 - 4 in., 2 in., and 1 in. HMA layer Double bituminous surface treatment

 - Microsurfacing
 - Unpaved
 - **Emulsion treatment**

Evaluation of FDR under P-8 Poseidon Aircraft:

- P-8 loading conditions (45 kips/220 psi)
- Emulsion/cement additive
- 14-in.-thick reclaimed layer
- 2-in. and 4-in.-thick HMÁ surface; unpaved test item

- FDR for Landing Zones: C-17 (45 kips/142 psi) & C-130 (35 kips/100 psi) loading conditions
 - Two material types
 - Silty sand
 - Gravel
 - 12-in.-thick reclaimed layer
 - Unpaved surface



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments

- Assess structural capacity of FDR base layer with a variety of thin surface treatments
 - Cement treatment only (5% cement)
 - Section was trafficked with single-wheel F-15 load cart
 - ▶ 35,000 lb total load
 - 325 psi tire inflation pressure



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments

- FWD data were collected at the top of the FDR layer and after surfacing
- Observed trends were reasonable Increase in asphalt thickness resulted in an increase in ISM
- Leverage data for analytical effort



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments





19

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments





1 in.-thick HMA @ 20 passes

4 in.-thick HMA @ 2,048 passes

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments





DBST @ 20 passes

Unpaved @ 20 passes

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

22

Effect of Fighter Aircraft Traffic on Full-Depth Reclamation with Thin Surface Treatments



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation Methods for Non-Traditional Pavement

UNCLASSIFIED

Develop pavement performance models for cargo aircraft operation on non-traditional pavements

- Follow up to Thin Asphalt study conducted in Hangar 2 Test Facility
- Identify existing field sections meeting the following:
 - Asphalt < 4-in.-thick; aged and/or non-traditional (sand asphalt)</p>
 - Subgrade CBR < 8</p>
 - Pavement Condition Index < 55</p>

Traffic with single-wheel cargo load cart C-17 (max load with normal and reduced tire pressure) C-130 (max load with normal and reduced tire pressure)

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation Methods for Non-Traditional Pavement

UNCLASSIFIED

- Site 1: Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida
 - 2-in.-HMA, 6 to 8-in. base, silty sand subgrade (severely oxidized and block cracked)
- Site 2: ERDC, Mississippi
 - 1 to 2-in.-HMA, variable base course, CL subgrade
- Site 3: Eglin, Florida
 - 7-in.-thick sand asphalt, sand subgrade
- Site 4: Eglin, Florida
 - 3.5 to 4-in. HMA, variable base course, sand subgrade
- Site 5: Malmstrom, Montana
 - DBST surface, 4-in.-thick base, 58-in. thick subbase, clay subgrade
- Site 6: Malmstrom, Montana
 - 3.5-in. HMA, 4 to 6-in. base 58-in. thick subbase, clay subgrade





US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation Methods for Non-Traditional Pavement



Most sites were non-aircraft traffic, i.e. training sites or overruns, thus deterioration was primarily environmental. Site 6

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation Methods for Non-Traditional Pavement

- Lightweight deflectometer and FWD measurements were made at the same location at the same traffic interval
- Data were paired to determine if the LWD could be a suitable alternative to an FWD for contingency evaluation



US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation Methods for Non-Traditional Pavement



UNCLASSIFIED

 LWD deflections were used to convert to ISM (note LWD used in this study does not have load measuring capabilities; thus constant force was assumed.

Correlation tended to improve with lower HWD ISM (i.e., weaker pavements)

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Evaluation of low volume road structural deterioration

Problem Statement:

- Low Volume Road (LVR) emphasis on structural capacity is minimal since deterioration typically results from environmental factors.
- Cases where structural condition of LVR becomes important due to rapidly increased traffic loading include:
 - Detouring traffic due to rehabilitation/replacement
 - Opening new industrial facility near LVR (e.g., oil and gas exploration)
 - Emergency response to extreme weather events
 - Transporting military cargo in contingency environments

Objective:

- Determine suitability of Light Weight Deflectometer (LWD) as a structural evaluation tool for LVRs.
- Explore the capability of LWD equipment in evaluating
 - Load induced deterioration
 - Soil moisture
 - Flexible pavement temperature



28

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Impact

Provide a quick cost-effective way to indicate structural capacity for LVRs.

Provide project managers with pavement structural condition for decision making when traffic rapidly increases, and structural deterioration becomes the dominant distress mechanism.

Develop criteria for prioritizing pavement maintenance/rehabilitation.



29

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Results

- LWD was compared to FWD using Impulse Stiffness Modulus (ISM) to assess ability to track pavement structural condition.
- LWD was successful in tracking pavement structural condition over the duration of 10,000 vehicle passes (using a 4-axle, 58,000 lb truck) similar to the trends of the FWD.

UNCLASSIFIED



LWD- 15kg hammer, 150 mm plate diameter



FWD

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Results

The LWD was successful in evaluating the effects of load induced deterioration on relatively weak pavement structures.

Benchmarks for Criteria Development

- ISMs > 150 kips/in. corresponded to good pavement performance and sustained 10,000 passes or more.
- ISMs ≤ 125 kips/in. corresponded to poor pavement performance and sustained less than 1000 passes.
- LWD provided useful information for ISMs < 250 kips/in. The LWD is not suitable for evaluating pavements stiffer than this.





Other APT work

Biostabilization – cementation of sand using microorganisms
 Response to military truck traffic and aircraft traffic

UNCLASSIFIED

32

Airfield matting

Lighter weight airfield matting solutions (multiple test sections)

Airfield damage repair

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center

Questions?

UNCLASSIFIED

US Army Corps of Engineers • Engineer Research and Development Center